IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

In re: Vicky Rodríguez-Torres

Debtor

Vicky Rodríguez-Torres Plaintiff

v.

Enid López-López Guillermo Camba-Casas Mariela Rexach-Rexach Government Development Bank PR, et al. Defendants 09-10864-BKT7 Chapter 7

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING 10-00137-BKT

18 U.S.C. § 1030, 18 U.S.C. § 2510, 18 U.S.C. § 2701, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1985, 42 U.S.C. § 1988, TORTS

RULE 9023 MOTION TO VACATE ORDER, RE: ECF NO. 82 GRANTING DEFENDANTS' (GDB) [80] MOTION FOR EMERGENCY PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Now comes Plaintiff, through the undersigned attorney, and respectfully alleges and prays as follows:

- 1.On September 21st, 2010, GDB filed a motion to dismiss the instant adversary proceeding (ECF No. 11). Said motion included within the same paper a prayer for relief in the form of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9011 sanctions, requesting that Plaintiff "reimburse defendants for the unreasonable costs incurred".
- 2. On January 28th, 2011 the Court granted Defendant's motion to dismiss in both respects, dismissing the adversary proceeding as well as imposing fees and expenses on Plaintiff (ECF No. 66).

- **3.** On February 1^{st} , 2011, Debtor filed a notice of appeal seeking review by the District Court of such dismissal (**ECF No. 68**).
- **4.** On March 31st, 2011, GDB filed an urgent motion requesting that Plaintiff be ordered to pay the above fees and expenses in an expedited manner (**ECF No. 80**).
- 5.On March 25th, 2011, notwithstanding the above pending review before the District Court, this Bankruptcy Court entered order granting Defendants' motion for attorney's fees and expenses (ECF No. 77). Then on April 4th, 2011, this Court entered order granting Defendants' motion for an expedited payment of said fees and expenses (ECF No. 82).
- **6.** In issuing both **ECF No. 77** and **ECF No. 82**, Plaintiff submits that this Court acted improvidently, based on the following grounds:
 - a. GDB did not meet the requirement that the request for sanctions be made in a separate paper;
 - b. GDB did not meet the twenty-one (21) day safe-harbor requirement of serving the paper pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004 before filing it with the Court;
 - c. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9011 provides for the reimbursement of only "reasonable expenses and attorney's fees incurred", which excludes any reimbursement of "unreasonable costs" as prayed for by GDB;
 - d. Alternatively, had the Court been acting on its own
 initiative, it failed to:

- i. describe the specific violative conduct;
- ii. enter an order directing Plaintiff to show cause as to why she had not incurred in such violative conduct;
- e. The sanction is excessive, and extends beyond the limitation that it be sufficient to deter repetition of the imputed conduct;
- f. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7062 required this Court to stay the
 effect of its order until fourteen (14) days had
 passed after its entry;
- g. Plaintiff's filing of a notice of appeal was an act of jurisdictional significance which divested this Court of jurisdiction over the subject matter of the order on appeal, which included the imposition of fees and expenses.

WHEREBY, for all of the above, Debtor prays this Honorable Court:

VACATE its ORDER as set forth in ECF No. 82.

Submitted in New York, New York, this 11th day of April, 2011.

S/William E. Meléndez Menéndez William E. Meléndez Menéndez

USDC PR No. 226902

Attorney for Debtor 410 Park Avenue 15th Floor, Suite # 1223 New York, New York 10022 Tel. (718) 725-7387 We.Melendez@e-Lex.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this date I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all parties in interest.

In New York, New York, this 11th day of April, 2011.

S/William E. Meléndez Menéndez William E. Meléndez Menéndez USDC PR No. 226902